



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/IJBcRR/1562
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>Phytochemical screening and biological activities of Hypotrigena squamuligera raw honey.</i>
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
General comment:	In the manuscript submitted for comments the Authors studied phytochemical screening and biological activities of <i>Hypotrigena squamuligera</i> raw honey. The aim of study which was taken by the Authors is current and important to field.	Agreed
Specific comments:		
Title and abstract	no comments	
Introduction	row 19 – should be: Basson and Grobler 2008 row 30 – Eardley or Eardly like in References ?	Corrected
Review of literature (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	-	
Materials & methods (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	row 44 – instead “rpm” the centrifugation force should be given row 59 – type, producer and origin country of spectrophotometer should be given	corrected
Results & discussion (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	row 91 – remove “alkaloids” Table 1 – it's a pity that Authors used only qualitative analysis for phytochemical components row 123 – Kahkonen or Kähkönen like in References ? row 127 – should be: Gheldof and Engeseth 2008 Table 2 – how many repetitions were performed for DPPH radical scavenging activity ? the values of standard deviation should be added Table 3 – the values of standard deviation should be added	corrected
Conclusion	no comments	
References	no comments	